

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Appl. No. : 09/466,124 Confirmation No. 9802
Applicant : MITCH A. BRISEBOIS, ET
AL
Filed : December 21, 1999
TC/A.U. : 2666
Examiner : Shick C. Hom
Docket No. : 77682-111
Customer No. : 07380

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JUN 22 2005

Commissioner for Patents
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
U.S.A.

Dear Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated February 22, 2005, Applicant submits the following remarks:

REMARKS

In paragraph 3 of the Detailed Action, the Examiner has rejected claims 1, 8, 11, 12, 21, 22, 25 to 30, 36, 39, 40, and 43 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over United States Patent No. 6,594,238 (Wallentin *et al.*) in view of United States Patent No. 6,549,768 (Fraccaroli). Given below is a brief discussion of the Wallentin *et al.* and Fraccaroli references, together with a detailed discussion as to why claims 1, 8, 11, 12, 21, 22, 25 to 30, 36, 39, 40, and 43 are patentable over the Wallentin *et al.* and Fraccaroli references.

Wallentin *et al.*

The Wallentin *et al.* reference discloses a method and apparatus for dynamically adapting a connection state in a mobile communication system. Mobile communication systems provide a variety of different services such as voice services and packet data services. The packet services include e-mail, file transfers, and information retrieval using the Internet. "In the context of these